

A Study of Fermions Coupled to Gauge and Gravitational Fields on a Cylinder

Ralph P. Lano and V.G.J. Rodgers
Department of Physics and Astronomy
The University of Iowa
Iowa City, Iowa 52242-1479
Jan. 1994

ABSTRACT

Fermions on a cylinder coupled to gravity and gauge fields are examined by studying the geometric action associated with the symmetries of such a system. The gauge coupling constant is shown to be constrained and the effect of gravity on the masses is discussed. Furthermore, we introduce a new gravitational theory which couples to the fermions by promoting the coadjoint vector of the diffeomorphism sector to a dynamical variable. This system, together with the gauge sector is shown to be equivalent to a one dimensional system.

The study of gauge theories both abelian (Ref.[1]) and non-abelian (Ref.[2,3]) on a cylinder has been an issue in the literature for some time. The cylinder provides a toy manifold where one hopes to find insight into the more pressing 3+1 dimensional theories. In this note we will take a somewhat different view of fermions on a cylinder (or a plane) by examining the geometric actions that arise from the effective action of fermionic theories. Furthermore we promote the background gauge fields to propagating fields and discuss the analogue of this for gravity.

We begin by considering the action

$$S = \int d^2x \bar{\Psi}_i \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \delta_{ij} + q A_\mu^{ij}) \Psi_j + \int d^2x \bar{\Psi}_i \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \Psi_i T^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} d^2x, \quad (11)$$

where Ψ is a two component Dirac fermion and A_μ is a gauge field valued in the vector subalgebra of $U(N) \times U(N)$. The field $T^{\mu\nu}$ is a symmetric tensor that will serve as a background stress energy source. We define a mass term or Yukawa type coupling to the fermions through the trace of this tensor with the metric. Since we are considering a coupling to two dimensional gravity we introduce the zweibein $e^{(a,\alpha)}$ and write

$$\bar{\Psi}_i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \delta_{ij} \Psi_j = \bar{\Psi}_i \gamma_a e^{(a,\mu)} \partial_\mu \delta_{ij} \Psi_j.$$

Lets us write $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_A \\ \Psi_B \end{pmatrix}$ and our gamma matrices as

$$\gamma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

as well as the light cone matrices

$$\gamma_+ = \gamma^- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \gamma_- = \gamma^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With this the fermionic part of the lagrangian may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} L = & 2\Psi_B^* e^{(+,0)} (\partial_0 + qA_0) \Psi_B + i2\Psi_B^* e^{(+,1)} (\partial_1 + qA_1) \Psi_B \\ & + 2\Psi_A^* e^{(-,0)} (\partial_0 + qA_0) \Psi_A + 2\Psi_A^* e^{(-,1)} (\partial_1 + qA_1) \Psi_A \\ & + 2\Psi_A^* e^{(-,\mu)} e^{(+,\nu)} \Psi_B T_{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where in the above we are using light-cone coordinates for the local indices and 0 and 1 for the coordinate indices. (This is just our labeling convention to distinguish the indices.) Also we have suppressed the group indices. By making the usual change in variables, viz $\chi_A = \sqrt{2}e^{(-,1)}\Psi_A$ and $\chi_B = \sqrt{2}e^{(+,0)}\Psi_B$, and fixing the gauge where $A_0 = 0$ and

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{e^{(-,0)}}{e^{(-,1)}} & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3)$$

we finally may write

$$S = \int d^2x (\chi_B^* \partial_0 \chi_B + \chi_A^* (\partial_1 + qA_1) \chi_A + \chi_A^* g^{00} \partial_0 \chi_A + \chi_A^* g^{00} T_{00} \chi_B + \chi_B^* g^{00} T_{00} \chi_A + \chi_A^* T_{01} \chi_B + \chi_B^* T_{10} \chi_A). \quad (4)$$

Since we are in the axial gauge the ghost decouple from the gauge field sector, while the diffeomorphism ghosts will shift the value of the central extension in the effective action by 26, Ref.[4,5]. Through to rest of this study we will set $T_{01} = T_{10} = 0$. These terms contribute to the usual mass term in Minkowski space or Euclidean space.

This action is known to be equivalent to WZNW models and Polyakov's 2D gravity, Ref.[4,6]. These actions arise as the geometric actions of the Kac-Moody and Virasoro groups as first discussed in Refs.[7,8,9]. Since our fermions are coupled to both the background gauge and the background energy momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$, we must study the semi-direct product of the Virasoro and affine Kac-Moody algebras to extract the effective action. This is done in Ref.[10].

Let us recapitulate the salient features of that geometric action. One starts with the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [J_N^\alpha, J_M^\beta] &= if^{\alpha\beta\gamma} J_{N+M}^\gamma + Nk\delta_{M+N,0}\delta^{\alpha\beta} \\ [L_N, J_M^\alpha] &= -MJ_{M+N}^\alpha \\ [L_N, L_M] &= (N-M)L_{N+M} + \frac{c}{12}(N^3 - N)\delta_{N+M,0} \end{aligned}$$

where $c = \frac{2k\text{Dim}(G)}{2k+c_v}$, $\text{Dim}(G)$ is the dimension of the group and c_v is the value of the quadratic Casimir in the adjoint representation. Since we are interested in dynamics on a cylinder we explicitly write

$$L_N = i \exp(iN\theta) \partial_\theta$$

$$J_N^\alpha = \tau^\alpha \exp(iN\theta).$$

and where we have normalized the generators so that $\text{Tr}(\tau^\alpha \tau^\beta) = \delta^{\alpha\beta}$. A basis element for the above algebra would be $F_{AB}^\beta(\rho) = (L_A, J_B^\beta, \rho)$ and a generic adjoint element will be written as $F = (\xi(\theta), \Lambda(\theta), a)$. The dual space vectors or coadjoint vectors will be denoted as $B(\theta) = (T(\theta), A_\theta(\theta), \mu = \frac{1}{q})$ where q is the coupling constant introduced in Eq.[1]. θ denotes the circle parameter. The action of F on B defines the coadjoint representation and we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_F B &\equiv (\xi(\theta), \Lambda(\theta), a) * (T(\theta), A_\theta(\theta), \mu) = \\ &-(2\xi' T + T' \xi + \frac{c\mu}{24\pi} \xi''' - \text{Tr}[A_\theta \Lambda'], A'_\theta \xi + A_\theta \xi' + [\Lambda A_\theta - A_\theta \Lambda] + k\mu \Lambda', 0) \quad (5) \\ &=(\delta T, \delta A_\theta, 0) \end{aligned}$$

where $'$ denotes ∂_θ . As is well known the space of coadjoint vectors may be foliated in terms of equivalence classes. If, say, B_1 can be transported to B_2 via a group transformation then B_1 and B_2 belong to the same equivalence class. These classes are the coadjoint orbits defined by the action of the Kac-Moody and Virasoro groups on the coadjoint vectors. On each orbit there exists a symplectic two form defined by

$$\Omega_B(B_1, B_2) = \langle B \mid [F_1, F_2] \rangle,$$

where here $\langle B \mid F \rangle$ denotes a suitable pairing of coadjoint vectors with the adjoint vectors. The vector F_1 yields B_1 through $\delta_{F_1} B = B_1$. Once this is known then the construction of the geometric action is straightforward. The geometric action associated with fermions coupled to background gauge and gravitational fields

(here we mean the background tensor T) is

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & \int T(\theta) \left[\frac{\partial_\lambda s}{\partial_\theta s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} \right) - \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\frac{\partial_\lambda s}{\partial_\theta s} \right) \right] d\lambda d\tau d\theta + \\
& \int Tr A(\theta) \left\{ \frac{\partial_\lambda s}{\partial_\theta s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (g^{-1} \partial_\tau g) - \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (g^{-1} \partial_\lambda g) + [g^{-1} \partial_\lambda g, g^{-1} \partial_\tau g] \right\} d\lambda d\tau d\theta \\
& + \frac{c\mu}{48\pi} \int \left[\frac{\partial_\theta^2 s}{(\partial_\theta s)^2} \partial_\tau \partial_\theta s - \frac{(\partial_\theta^2 s)^2 (\partial_\tau s)}{(\partial_\theta s)^3} \right] d\theta d\tau - k\mu \int Tr g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} d\theta d\tau \\
& + k\mu \int Tr g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \left[g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda}, g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} \right] d\theta d\tau d\lambda
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In the above $T(\theta)$ has been induced by the T^{00} in the original lagrangian. The coordinates 0,1 are now written as τ, θ respectively where the cylindrical radius, r , has been suppressed and is multiplying θ . The induced metric component is now written as $g_{00} = \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s}$. The parameter k denotes the level of the Kac-Moody algebra.

So far we have only used the fact that A_θ and T transform in certain ways under the time independent gauge transformations and circle diffeomorphisms. Recall that A_θ transforms under gauge transformations as

$$[A_\theta, \Lambda] - k\mu \Lambda' = \delta A_\theta.$$

In order that the WZNW action admits a well defined quantum theory, the coefficient of the Wess-Zumino term must be integer moded. This would imply that

$$k\mu = N$$

for some integer N . The fact that k has to be an integer arises independently from the requirement that the representation of the Kac-Moody algebras be irreducible and unitary. In fact for the case of the fermions, we need $k = 1$ in order to establish the bosonization prescription between $\Psi_A^i \Psi_B^j$ and $g_j^i(\theta, \tau)$, Ref[6]. With this we can conclude that the coupling constant q is quantized to values $\frac{1}{N}$ for N an integer. Geometrically this is precisely the statement that the only orbits that can be related to physical systems are of the form, (Ref.[11])

$$B = (T(\theta), A(\theta), N).$$

This freezing of the coupling constant suggests that this theory may be related to a point particle problem.

We now would like to go further by studying the classical equations of motion of this system and reducing it to a one dimensional system. The only relevant degrees of freedom will be the Wilson loops of the fields and their conjugate momenta. By integrating by parts and assuming that T and A_θ have λ, τ , and θ dependence one can show that the first and second summand in Eq.[6] are equal to

$$I_T = \int d^2x T(\theta) \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} + \int d^3x (\partial_\tau T \frac{\partial_\lambda s}{\partial_\theta s} - \partial_\lambda T \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s}) \quad (7)$$

and

$$I_{A_\theta} = - \int d^2x A_\theta g^{-1} \partial_\tau g + \int d^3x (\nabla_\lambda A_\theta) g^{-1} \partial_\tau g - \int d^3x (\nabla_\tau A) g^{-1} \partial_\lambda g \quad (8)$$

where $\nabla_\tau = \partial_\tau - \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} \partial_\theta - \partial_\theta (\frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s})$ and a similar expression for ∇_λ . Now the equations of motion are easy to find when the background fields $A_\theta(\theta)$ and $T(\theta)$ are included. The above actions will be used to isolate candidate dynamic terms for A_θ and T . The equations of motion for the fermions are equivalent to the equations of motion for the $s(\theta, \tau)$ (the Weyl components) and $g(\theta, \tau)$ fields which are,

$$\partial_\theta T(\theta) \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} + 2T(\theta) \partial_\theta \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} + \frac{c\mu}{24\pi} \partial_\theta^3 \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} - \text{Tr}[A_\theta \partial_\theta (g^{-1} \partial_\tau g)] = 0 \quad (9)$$

and

$$(\partial_\theta \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s}) A_\theta + \partial_\theta A_\theta (\frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s}) - [g^{-1} \partial_\tau g, A_\theta] + k\mu \partial_\theta (g^{-1} \partial_\tau g) = 0. \quad (10)$$

In the absence of gravity, Eq.[9] has solutions $g(\theta, \tau) = L(\theta)R(\tau)$, where $L(\theta)$ is arbitrary and the generators of $R(\tau)$ commute with A_θ . In other words $R(\tau)$ belongs to the little group of A_θ . Since we have used the time dependent gauge transformations to fix the $A_\theta = 0$ gauge, we must set $R = \mathbb{1}$. However, for the sake of demonstration, we leave R unfixed for the moment. In the presence of gravity this solution is modified to read

$$g(\theta, \tau) = L(\theta)M(\theta, \tau)R(\tau), \quad (11)$$

where $L(\theta)$ is an arbitrary group element, $R(\tau)$ is again an element of the little group of A_θ , and

$$M(\theta, \tau) = \text{T exp}(\frac{-1}{k\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \frac{\partial_t s}{\partial_\theta s} A_\theta dt), \quad (12)$$

which is a time ordered exponential with the boundary condition that

$$g(\theta, \tau \rightarrow -\infty) = 1.$$

We notice that $\frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} A_\theta$ plays the role of an effective A_τ component. With the above solution, $g^{-1} \partial_\tau g = R^{-1} \partial_\tau R - \frac{1}{k\mu} \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} A_\theta$. Using this we may rewrite Eq.[9] as

$$\partial_\theta \hat{T} \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} + 2\hat{T} \partial_\theta \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} + \frac{c\mu}{24\pi} \partial_\theta^3 (\frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s}) = 0, \quad (9')$$

where $\hat{T} = T + \frac{1}{2k\mu} \text{Tr}[A_\theta A_\theta]$. The $\text{Tr}[A_\theta A_\theta]$ is a remnant of the Sugawara construction of the Virasoro generators from the Kac-Moody algebra. This shows that on shell, the gauge potential in the presence of gravity modifies the coadjoint vector and may be thought of as influencing the mass term of the fermions. More interesting is the fact that $\hat{T}$ is invariant under gauge transformations whereas T is not (see Eq.(5)). In general one cannot expect the addition of rank two tensors to provide one with a new coadjoint vector. This is due to the fact that the configuration space for the coadjoint vectors is not a vector space (although it is a convex space for fixed central extensions). However, $\text{Tr}[A_\theta A_\theta]$ transforms homogeneously under circle diffeomorphisms (no central extension), so it can be added to T and the sum will remain in the configuration space, while the new coadjoint vector, $\hat{T}$, will assume the same extension. Later on we will see that it is $\hat{T}$ that becomes the relevant field in the interacting case.

When $T = 0$, the symmetries of the semi-classical vacuum are fully dictated by A_θ and the central extension. The solution to Eq.[9'] is simple in that $\frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s}$ must be a linear combinations of the generator in the Virasoro group that stabilizes $\hat{T}$. In general the viable orbits are of only a few varieties. These are classified for physics consumption in Ref[12]. These orbits are $\text{Diff} S^1/S^1$, $\text{Diff} S^1/SL(2, R)_n$, $\text{Diff} S^1/T_{(n, \Delta)}$, and $\text{Diff} S^1/\tilde{T}_{n\pm}$. For the first two varieties of orbits (we will call these orbits of the “first type”) one can show that they can be identified with

constant functions $\hat{T}$. However the last two orbits are stabilized by vectors $\cos(n\theta)$ and $1 - \cos(n\theta)$ respectively. The defining coadjoint vectors are not constants, and are in fact diffeomorphic to the coadjoint vectors,

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\cos^2(n\theta)} + \frac{n^2 c\mu}{24} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(1 - \cos(n\theta))^2} + \frac{n^2 c\mu}{24}$$

respectively. Notice that these fields are singular at a finite number of points on the circle. Since we are interested in functions that are not singular on the circle, we will ignore the $\text{DiffS}^1/T_{(n,\Delta)}$, and $\text{DiffS}^1/\tilde{T}_{n\pm}$ type orbits (“second type”). Therefore $\hat{T}(\theta)$ will be diffeomorphic to a constant function in θ , Ref.[12]. (It is worth noticing that with a suitable choice of A_θ and μ , one can send $\hat{T}$ to an orbit of the first type, even when T defines orbits of the second type.) Thus we will use these constant coadjoint vectors to represent the orbits. Let T_0 denote the constant coadjoint vector that defines the orbit on which $\hat{T}$ lives. Then (up to an overall scale factor),

$$\frac{\partial_\tau s(\theta', \tau)}{\partial_{\theta'} s(\theta', \tau)} = h_1(\tau) + h_2(\tau) \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{24T_0}{c\mu}} \theta'\right) + h_3(\tau) \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{24T_0}{c\mu}} \theta'\right), \quad (13)$$

where θ' is related to θ by that diffeomorphism that carries $\hat{T}$ into T_0 . From now on we will drop the distinction between θ' and θ . The functions h_1, h_2 , and h_3 are arbitrary time dependent real functions and together they generate an $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry. Notice, however, that Eq.[9'] is homogeneous in $s(\theta, \tau)$. This is a remnant of Weyl symmetry on the metric. Thus one can eliminate one of the h functions. Also we should keep in mind that time reparameterization invariance was used to fix the gauge. Furthermore the quantity $R \equiv \sqrt{h_1^2 - h_2^2 - h_3^2}$ is an $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant. Since we will not allow any time dependence in this invariant, we may consider solutions to Eq.[9'] where h_1, h_2 , and h_3 are constants. With this, the solution of $s(\theta, \tau)$ is any function of the parameter z , viz. $s(z)$, where z is given by

$$z = \tau + \frac{2c\mu}{\sqrt{24\pi T_0(h_1^2 - h_2^2 - h_3^2)}} \text{ArcTan}\left(\frac{(h_1 - h_2)\text{Tan}\left(\sqrt{\frac{24\pi T_0}{c\mu}} \theta\right) + h_3}{\sqrt{h_1^2 - h_2^2 - h_3^2}}\right). \quad (14)$$

There are three distinct regions for the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariants corresponding to $R^2 > 0$, $R^2 = 0$, and $R^2 < 0$. Let us examine these three regions.

For $R^2 > 0$, we may choose, $h_1 = R, h_2 = h_3 = 0$. Then z becomes $z = \tau + \frac{\theta}{R}$. This is just the usual light cone coordinate with θ rescaled by R . Notice that there is no T_0 dependence in s for this particular choice of parameters. This sector will be our preferred choice. Therefore we will require that $h_1^2 > h_2^2 + h_3^2$.

In the $R^2 = 0$ sector, there are several different directions that z may go. Clearly for $h_1 = h_2 = h_3 = 0$, $z = \theta$. However other possibilities exist. The cases when $h_1 = \pm h_3 = h$, and $h_2 = 0$ or when $h_1 = \pm h_2 = h$ and $h_3 = 0$, both correspond to $\frac{\partial_\tau s(\theta, \tau)}{\partial_\theta s(\theta, \tau)}$ stabilizing an orbit of the second type. For this reason we will not be concerned with the $R^2 = 0$ sector.

And finally for the $R^2 < 0$ sector, again we find that for $h_1 = h_3 = 0$ and $h_2 \neq 0$, that $\frac{\partial_\tau s(\theta, \tau)}{\partial_\theta s(\theta, \tau)}$ stabilizes orbits of the second type when h_2 is real.

With all of this in place, one may now add dynamics to *both* the A_θ and T fields. This will allow both the background gauge and “stress-energy tensor” to propagate. We will then try to find one dimensional systems that correspond to our action. To proceed, we will consider the “free” theories first and then add the fermion interactions. A suitable choice of kinetic terms for both the A_θ and T is required. The action, Eq.[6], naturally contains the gauge fixed Chern-Simons (when $g^{-1}\partial g$ is included with A) terms for both the gauge and diffeomorphism sectors. This is seen by examining Eqs.[7] and [8]. (In Eq.[8] only the ordinary part of the covariant derivative contributes to the Chern-Simons term.) Instead of using such topological actions that are restricted to certain dimensions, we would like to provide dynamics to A_θ and T through curvature squared type actions. We can use the Chern-Simons terms to identify the curvatures that we need to construct the two dimensional actions. The dynamics for A_θ follows from $\frac{1}{\alpha} F^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ so we will add to the action the lagrangian

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_\tau A_\theta \partial_\tau A_\theta$$

and we will drop the Chern-Simons term,

$$\int d^3x (\partial_\lambda A) g^{-1} \partial_\tau g - \int d^3x (\partial_\tau A) g^{-1} \partial_\lambda g$$

from consideration. Similarly, by examination of the Diff Chern-Simons term, we can pick off the appropriate curvature for T in the absence of A_θ . This term is covariant under the residual Diff transformations and write

$$I_T = \int d^2x T(\theta) \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} + \frac{1}{\beta} \int d^2x \partial_\tau T \partial_\tau T, \quad (15)$$

where β has units of mass⁴. This action will replace Eq.[7]. This use of kinetic terms allows us to deviate from conformal field theories and should also be contrasted with methods used to construct model spaces for the Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras such as those found in Ref[13,14].

We can now introduce the conjugate variable E to A_θ , keeping in mind the Gauss' law constraint that identifies the appropriate E 's with A 's. Similarly the conjugate variable E_T is introduced for T . Since the Gauss' laws are the generators of the time independent transformations, we can use the isotropy equations from the coadjoint orbits to identify their form. For the gauge sector we will follow Ref.[2]. It is instructive to first consider the pure cases for A_θ and T .

In the case of $A(\theta)$, one "rotates" A_θ to zero via the transformation, $\partial_\theta S + A_\theta S = 0$. Then the Wilson loop,

$$S(2\pi) = P \exp\left(-\frac{1}{k\mu} \int_{\theta=0}^{\theta=2\pi} A_\theta d\theta\right) S(0) \quad (16)$$

is identified with the conjugate variable q and one extracts the associated conjugate momentum through the Gauss' law constraint $\partial_\theta E + \frac{1}{k\mu} [A_\theta, E] = 0$, Ref.[2]. Through this one finds that $E(\theta) = S(\theta)E(0)S^{-1}(\theta)$ so that $E(0)$ is the appropriate conjugate momentum, p . The Hamilton equations for q and p follow from the equations of motion and $\partial_\tau(\partial_\theta S + A_\theta S) = 0$. One has

$$q^{-1} \dot{q} = -\frac{2\pi}{k\mu} p \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{p} = 0.$$

The pure Diff (or pure gravity) sector may be treated in an analogous way. Q and P denote the conjugate variables in this sector. First the action can be written as

$$S_T = \frac{1}{\beta} \int \partial_\tau T E_T d^2x - \frac{1}{2\beta} \int E_T E_T d^2x.$$

The equation of motion and the Gauss' law constraint for this system are given by

$$\partial_\tau E_T = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2\partial_\theta E_T T + \partial_\theta T E_T + t\partial_\theta^3 E_T = 0. \quad (17)$$

Let us define the function $v(\theta)$ through

$$T(\theta) = t\{\theta, v\} = t\left(\frac{\partial_\theta^3 v}{\partial_\theta v} - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{\partial_\theta^2 v}{\partial_\theta v}\right)^2\right), \quad (18)$$

where $t = \frac{c\mu}{24\pi}$ and $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ is the Schwartzian derivative. Then the Wilson loop for the Diff sector is $Q = v(2\pi)$. Using Eq.[17] we find the conjugate momentum in terms of v as $E(\theta)_T = \frac{E_T(0)}{\partial_\theta v}$, where $E_T(0) = P$. Since T can be taken as a constant, we have

$$v(\theta) = \exp(\pm i\sqrt{\frac{2T}{t}}\theta). \quad (19)$$

Now the Hamilton equations for Q and P follow from the equations of motion and by taking a time derivative of Eq.[18] one can show that

$$E_T(0)\left(\frac{1}{\partial_\theta v}\right)^3 = \partial_v^3(\partial_\tau v), \quad (20)$$

where $\partial_v = \frac{1}{\partial_\theta v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$. Then $\partial_\tau v$ has the solution,

$$\partial_\tau v(\theta) = E_T(0)\left(\int_0^\theta \frac{v^2(\phi)}{2(\partial_\phi v(\phi))^2} d\phi - v(\theta) \int_0^\theta \frac{v(\phi)}{(\partial_\phi v(\phi))^2} d\phi + v^2(\theta) \int_0^\theta \frac{1}{2(\partial_\phi v(\phi))^2} d\phi\right).$$

From here on we will consider only the case where T is a constant. As remarked earlier this excludes specific orbits. The solution to Eq.[20] (evaluating v at 2π) is

$$\dot{Q} = \frac{2\pi^3 P}{\log(Q)^3} (3 - 4Q + Q^2 + 2\log(Q)), \quad (21a)$$

while the other Hamilton equation comes from the equations of motion and is

$$\dot{P} = \frac{P(\log(Q) + 1)\dot{Q}}{\log(Q)Q}. \quad (21b)$$

The components of the symplectic two form ω may be written as

$$\omega_{Q,P} = \frac{\log^3(Q)}{2\pi^3 P^2 (2\log(Q) + Q^2 - 4Q^2 + 3)}$$

and the Hamiltonian for this system is

$$H_o = \log(P) + \log(Q) + \log(\log(Q)). \quad (22)$$

Note that ω is invertible in the region $0 < Q < \infty$. In particular $\omega \rightarrow \frac{3}{2}$ as $Q \rightarrow 1$. Thus Poisson brackets are well defined on the phase space. However the constant energy surfaces on the phase space reveals forbidden regions for certain initial data. Figure 1, shows characteristic constant “energy” surfaces. There is a clear bifurcation in the $+$ versus $-$ solutions for Q in Eq.[19]. The positive set of maps is bounded from below by the $P = 0$ surface while the negative set is bounded from above by $P = -\exp(1 + E_o)$ where E_o is the energy. This barrier is actually welcomed since there was a twofold covering of the configuration space due to the two types of solutions. For the case when $\frac{T}{t}$ is positive definite the equations of motion remain the same but Q is valued on the complex circle. Specifically,

$$Q = \exp(\pm 2\pi i \sqrt{|\frac{2T}{t}|}), \quad P = \exp(E_o) \exp\{\mp i(2\pi \frac{2T}{t} + \frac{\pi}{2})\} 2\pi(\sqrt{|\frac{2T}{t}|}).$$

Thus P lags behind Q on the complex plane by 90° . Now the $+$ and $-$ solutions correspond to clockwise and anti-clockwise rotation about the complex plane as $2\pi(\sqrt{|\frac{T}{t}|})$ goes from 0 to ∞ .

As a side note, for a particular choice of quantum systems, the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian satisfy the equation

$$(i\frac{\partial}{\partial Q} + \frac{\exp(E_o)}{Q \log(Q)})\psi(Q) = 0. \quad (23)$$

The solutions for this case are easily to find and one has that

$$\psi_{E_o}(Q) = A \exp(i \exp(E_o) \log(\log(Q))).$$

Of course this quantization is not unique since there will be ambiguities when one promotes the Hamilton equations to operators as well as the way we impose the

gauge fixing conditions. For a detailed study of quantization ambiguities in the Yang-Mills case see Ref.[15].

Let us continue with our preliminary study of this gravitational (Diff) theory by introducing the fermions sources for the metric. Here we consider the action given by Eq.(15) together with the equations of motion for $\frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s}$ when $A_\theta = 0$. The equations of motion and the Gauss' constraint are

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \partial_\tau E_T = h_1 + h_2 \sin(\alpha\theta) + h_3 \cos(\alpha\theta) \quad \text{and} \quad 2\partial_\theta E_T T + \partial_\theta T E_T + t\partial_\theta^3 E_T = 0, \quad (24)$$

where $\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{2T}{t}}$. By evaluating the above expressions at $\theta = 2\pi$, the Hamilton equations become

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P} &= P \frac{\dot{Q} \log(Q) + \dot{Q}}{Q \log(Q)} + \frac{\beta}{2\pi} Q \log(Q) (h_1 + \frac{h_3}{2} (Q + Q^{-1})) \\ \dot{Q} &= \frac{2\pi^3 P}{\log^3(Q)} (3 - 4Q + Q^2 + 2 \log(Q)). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where the reality condition has forced $h_2 = 0$. The Hamiltonian may be written as $H = H_o + H_i$, where H_o is given by Eq.(22) and the interacting Hamiltonian is

$$H_i = \frac{\beta}{4\pi^4 P^2} \int_0^Q \frac{Q' \log^4(Q') (h_1 + \frac{h_3}{2} (Q' + Q'^{-1}))}{(2 \log(Q') + 3 - 4Q' + Q'^2)} dQ'.$$

Now we consider the fully interacting gauge and gravitational case with the fermionic sources, $s(\theta, \tau)$ and $g(\theta, \tau)$. The second term of the action in Eq.(15) is inadequate since it is not invariant under the transformation, $\delta_\Lambda T = \text{Tr}[A_\theta \Lambda']$. However this is remedied by writing the kinetic term for T as

$$I_T = \int d^2x T(\theta) \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} + \frac{1}{\beta} \int d^2x \partial_\tau (T + \frac{1}{2k\mu} \text{Tr}[A_\theta A_\theta]) \partial_\tau (T + \frac{1}{2k\mu} \text{Tr}[A_\theta A_\theta]). \quad (26)$$

For completeness, we write the full action that is being study as,

$$\begin{aligned}
S = & \int d^2x T(\theta) \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} + \frac{1}{\beta} \int d^2x \partial_\tau (T + \frac{1}{2k\mu} \text{Tr}[A_\theta A_\theta]) E_T + \\
& - \int d^2x A g^{-1} \partial_\tau g - \int d^3x \partial_\theta (\frac{\partial_\lambda s}{\partial_\theta s} A) g^{-1} \partial_\tau g + \int d^3x \partial_\theta (\frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s} A) g^{-1} \partial_\lambda g \\
& + \frac{c\mu}{48\pi} \int \left[\frac{\partial_\theta^2 s}{(\partial_\theta s)^2} \partial_\tau \partial_\theta s - \frac{(\partial_\theta^2 s)^2 (\partial_\tau s)}{(\partial_\theta s)^3} \right] d\theta d\tau - k\mu \int \text{Tr} g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} d\theta d\tau \quad (27) \\
& + k\mu \int \text{Tr} g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \left[g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda}, g^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \tau} \right] d\theta d\tau d\lambda \\
& + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int d^2x \partial_\tau A_\theta E - \frac{1}{2\beta} \int E_T E_T d^2x - \frac{1}{2\alpha} \int E E d^2x
\end{aligned}$$

The Gauss' constraints together with the equations of motion for this action,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(a)} \quad & \frac{1}{\beta} (2\partial_\theta E_T T + \partial_\theta T E + t\partial_\theta^3 E_T) - \frac{1}{\alpha} \text{Tr}[A_\theta \partial_\theta E] = 0 \\
\text{(b)} \quad & \partial_\theta E_T A_\theta + \partial_\theta A_\theta E_T + [A_\theta, E] + k\mu \partial_\theta E = 0 \\
\text{(c)} \quad & \frac{1}{\alpha} \partial_\tau E = \frac{-E E_T}{\beta k\mu} + g^{-1} \partial_\tau g \\
\text{(d)} \quad & \frac{1}{\beta} \partial_\tau E_T - \frac{\partial_\tau s(\theta, \tau)}{\partial_\theta s(\theta, \tau)} = 0
\end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\text{as well as,} \quad \partial_\tau A = E \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\tau (T + \frac{1}{2k\mu} \text{Tr}[A_\theta A_\theta]) = E_T,$$

can now be used to reduce the system to a one-dimensional problem. The Gauss' law, Eq.[28 b], is satisfied by $E(\theta) = SpS^{-1} - \frac{\alpha}{\beta k\mu} E_T A_\theta$, where S is the Wilson line as in the pure gauge case. This solution together with Eq.[28 c] and Eq.[28 d] imply that $\dot{p} = 0$. Here we have used the equation of motion for g and have written $g^{-1} \partial_\tau g = -\frac{1}{k\mu} A_\theta \frac{\partial_\tau s}{\partial_\theta s}$. The constraint, Eq.[28 a], reduces to, $2\partial_\theta E_T \hat{T} + \partial_\theta \hat{T} E_T + t\partial_\theta^3 E_T = 0$, where $\hat{T} = T + \frac{1}{2k\mu} \text{Tr}[A_\theta A_\theta]$ is the gauge invariant coadjoint vector. Using the same procedure of the pure Diff sector, we will bring $\hat{T}$ to a constant. This tacitly assumes that the gauge potential A_θ is well defined on the

circle and free from singularities. Then the one dimensional system can be shown to be defined by the Hamilton equations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{Q} &= \frac{2\pi^3 P}{\log^3(Q)} (3 - 4Q + Q^2 + 2\log(Q)) \\
\dot{P} &= \frac{P(\log(Q) + 1)\dot{Q}}{\log(Q)Q} + \frac{\beta}{2\pi} Q \log(Q) (h_1 + \frac{h_3}{2}(Q + Q^{-1})) \\
\dot{q} &= -\frac{2\pi}{k\mu} qp \\
\dot{p} &= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

where the Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{1}{2k\mu} p^2 + \log(P) + \log(Q) + \log(\log(Q)) + \\
&+ \frac{\beta}{4\pi^4 P^2} \int_0^Q \frac{Q' \log^4(Q') (h_1 + \frac{h_3}{2}(Q' + Q'^{-1}))}{(2\log(Q') + 3 - 4Q' + Q'^2)} dQ'.
\end{aligned}$$

The point particle action is

$$S = \int \left(\frac{-\log^3(Q)\dot{Q}}{2\pi^3 P(2\log(Q) + 3 - 4Q + Q^2)} + pq^{-1}\dot{q} - H \right) dt$$

Here q and Q are the gauge and Diff Wilson loops respectively, while p and P are their conjugate momenta. The parameters h_1 and h_3 may be used to fix the $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant parameter, R , which will label the states.

In conclusion, we have shown that the geometric action reveals that the coupling constant for fermions coupled to gauge potentials on a cylinder must be quantized. Further, we have shown that one may define a new, albeit gauge fixed, gravitational type action by looking at the diffeomorphism sector of the fermions. The new action is such that it is not restricted to a particular dimension and in two dimensions is equivalent to a point particle problem. The Wilson loops, which are gauge invariant quantities, are the only dynamical degrees of freedom. Although the symplectic structure is well defined throughout the full range of the configuration space, there is a bifurcation in equal energy surfaces due to an ambiguity in assigning the Wilson loop to a particular coadjoint orbit. The

fully interacting case is examined and the gravitational sector introduces a scale through the coupling constant β . The $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ invariant R labels the states. Since the geometric action can be extended to the line $\mathbb{R}^1$, one suspects that this work can be generalized. In that case the coupling constants β and α would provide two new scales to the theory, whereas for the cylinder the scale is fixed by the radius of the cylinder.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Y. Meurice and N. Louie for discussion. This note was completed while VGJR was visiting the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute. This work was supported in part by NSF grants DMS-9022140 (MSRI) and PHY-9103914.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.E. Hetrick and Y. Hosotani, Phys. Rev. D38 (1988) 2621; Phys. Lett. B230 (1989) 88
- [2] S.G. Rajeev, Phys. Lett. B212 (1988) 203
- [3] E. Langmann and G.W. Semenoff, Phys. Lett. B296 (1992) 117
- [4] A.M. Polyakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A2 (1987) 893
- [5] V.G. Knizhnik, A.M. Polyakov, and A.B. Zamolodchikov, Mod.Phys. Letts A 3 (1988) 819
- [6] E. Witten, Nucl. Phy. B233 (1983)
- [7] B. Rai and V.G.J. Rodgers, Nucl Phys. B341 (1990) 119
- [8] A. Yu. Alekseev and S.L. Shatashvili, Mod. Phys. Lett. A3 (1988) 1551
- [9] P.B. Wiegmann, Nucl Phys. B323 (1989) 311
- [10] R.P. Lano and V.G.J. Rodgers, Mod. Phys. Lett. A7 (1992) 1725
- [11] A. Pressley and G. Segal, pg.(45), *Loop Groups*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1986
- [12] E. Witten, Comm. Math. Phys. 114 (1988) 1
- [13] Ho-Seong La, Philip Nelson, A.S. Schwarz, Comm.Math.Phys.134 (1990) 539
- [14] A. Yu Alekseev and S.L. Shatashvili, Nucl. Phys. B323 (1989) 719
- [15] L. Chandar and E. Ercolessi, Syracuse University Preprint SU-4240-537
 “Inequivalent quantizations of Yang-Mills theory of a cylinder.”